UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,782	06/07/2001	Christina Banta	US010388	8851
	7590 08/05/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			COBANOGLU, DILEK B	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/876,782	BANTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DILER B. COBANOGLO	3020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	7).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Dilek B Cobanoglu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3: The proposed amendment made to claim 9 change the recitaiton of "identifying a patient's first medical study, which first medical study includes a first study identifier, and a logically related or similar second medical study, which second medical study includes a second study identifier" by adding "the first and second identifiers being unique" and "deleting a distinct database identity for at least one of the first and second studies" by changing "deleting the study identifier for at least one of the first and second studies" change the scope of the claim 9 from that previously presented, and require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11: In response to Applicant's Remark about "the final rejection is premature" and "May 11, 2010 is (should be) a first office action"; Examiner respectfully submits that in the 12/09/2008 communication (Examiner's Answer) the two options for the applicant was indicated; those two options were: reopen prosecution or maintain appeal (See page 16 of Examiner answer mailed on 12/09/2008). When reopening the prosection the applicant may amend the claims, and any amendment must be relevant to the new grounds of rejection. And any request that prosecution be reopened will be treated as request to withdraw the appeal. Therefore the final rejection was proper.

In response to Applicant's argument about Cooke does not teach "study identifiers" and "reconciling the study identifiers"; Examiner respectfully submits that Cooke teaches "Merge button 129 merges two or more selected studies with matching patient IDs, accession numbers, and/or study IDs into one study folder. Thereafter, the study folder is treated by the PACS as a single study, meaning that it is transmitted, routed and received as if it were a single study." in col. 21, lines 24-33 and figure 12.